IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMAE IVED EASTERN DIVISION

FLOYD MARSHALL,

2007 JAN 17 P 3: 49

Plaintiff,

DEBRA P. HACKETT, CLK U.S. DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

vs.

CASE NUMBER: 3:07CV56_WKW

RANDALL KRAIG BOBO; And PRECISION MOVERS, INC.;

*

*

*

*

Defendants.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

COME NOW the Defendants in this case, by and through the undersigned attorney, and for answer to the Complaint say as follows:

- These Defendants admit paragraphs 1 5 of the Complaint, with the
 exception that jurisdiction and venue should now exist in the Middle
 District of Alabama, Eastern Division.
- 2. These Defendants deny paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 3. These Defendants deny paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 4. These Defendants admit paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
- 5. These Defendants deny paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 6. These Defendants deny paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 7. These Defendants deny paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 8. These Defendants deny all material allegations of the claim for relief set forth in paragraphs 13-14 of the Complaint, and demand strict proof thereof.

9. These Defendants deny all material allegations of the claim for relief set forth in paragraphs 15-16 of the Complaint, and demand strict proof thereof.

Document 3

- 10. These Defendants deny all material allegations of the claim for relief set forth in paragraphs 17-18 of the Complaint, and demand strict proof thereof.
- 11. These Defendants deny the entirety of the claim for damages as set forth in paragraphs 19-24 of the Complaint, and demand strict proof thereof.
- 12. These Defendants affirmatively aver that Plaintiff may have negligently contributed to cause this accident, and Plaintiff would be barred from recovery by contributory negligence.
- 13. These Defendants affirmatively aver unexpected mechanical defect upon which liability cannot be imposed as a matter of law.
- 14. These Defendants affirmatively aver sudden emergency not attributable to them.
- 15. These Defendants affirmatively aver that Plaintiff was illegally operating his vehicle at the time of the accident, and should be barred from recovery accordingly.
- 16. These Defendants affirmatively aver assumption of the risk by the Plaintiff.

17. These Defendants affirmatively aver set-off for all monies received by or available to the Plaintiff as a direct or indirect result of the accident in question.

Document 3

- 18. These Defendants affirmatively aver collateral sources applicable to this claim.
- 19. These Defendants affirmatively aver lack of mitigation of damages.
- 20. These Defendants plead the general issue.
- 21. These Defendants reserve the right to supplement this answer as discovery progresses in this case.

ALEX L. HOLTSFORD, JR. (HOL048)

Attorney For Defendants

OF COUNSEL: NIX HOLTSFORD GILLILAND HIGGINS & HITSON, P. C. Post Office Box 4128 Montgomery, Alabama 36103-4128 (334) 215-8585

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day mailed, postage prepaid, an exact copy of the foregoing document to:

Dustin T. Brown
Attorney For Plaintiff
DAUGHTERY, CRAWFORD, FULLER
& BROWN, LLP
Post Office Box 1118
Columbus, Georgia 31902

This the ______ day of January, 2007.

OF COUNSEL